

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/901,566 | | 07/11/2001 | Keita Ito | 010698 | 4812 | |
| 23850 | 7590 | 12/20/2002 | | | | |
| ARMSTR | ONG,WE | STERMAN & HA | ATTORI, LLP | LLP EXAMINER | | |
| 1725 K STF SUITE 1000 |) | | | LUGO, C | ARLOS | |
| WASHING | ASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3677 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002 | DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|---|
| | | 09/901,566 | ITO ET AL. |
| . (| Office Acti n Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Carlos Lugo | 3677 |
| | - The MAILING DATE f this communication | | ith the correspondence address |
| THE - External form of the content | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133). |
| Status | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n <u>13 November 2002</u> . | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) | This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Dispositi | Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u on of Claims | allowance except for formal ma nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C. | tters, prosecution as to the merits D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| · _ | Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the applicati | on | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdra | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | with from consideration. | |
| · | Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| | • | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a on Papers | and/or election requirement. | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Exa | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ | • | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | | |
| 11)[🔀] | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | proved b) disapproved by the Ex |
| 40\□ | If approved, corrected drawings are required | · | |
| | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | ne Examiner. | |
| | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) | ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docu | ments have been received. | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docu | ments have been received in A | pplication No |
| * 5 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for | al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ |
| | acknowledgment is made of a claim for do | • | |
| а |) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do | ge provisional application has b | een received. |
| Attachmen | | | gg rad willer Of 121. |
| 1) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 | | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |



Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on November 13,
 2002 wherein claim 2 was cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE Pat No 3,841,203 to Obermayer et al (Obermayer) in view of US Pat No 3,202,463 to Fatt.

Obermayer discloses a seal structure comprising a crankcase (1) having a crank chamber. The crankcase is coupled to a lower face of a cylindrical block (4). The crankcase includes first and second case halve (1' and 1") coupled to each other in a plane perpendicular to the joint surfaces between the crankcase and the cylindrical block.

One of the case halve includes a U shaped groove (5) that extends along a peripheral edge of the chamber.

Enlarged recesses (where 12 is located) are provided only in the first and second case halves and extend laterally from opposite ends of the seal groove.

A bar shaped seal member (6) is mounted in the groove. A gasket (17) is located between the joint surfaces of the block and the crankcase that comes in close contact with the enlarged end portions of the bar seal member.



Art Unit: 3677

A T-shaped intersecting joint are among the cylindrical head and the first and second case halves is sealed by the seal member and the gasket

However, Obermayer fails to disclose that at the opposing ends of the bar shaped seal has an enlarged end to be filled on the enlarged recess. Obermayer disclose that a seal ring (10) is attached to the opposite ends of the bar seal member and placed on the enlarged recess.

Fatt teaches a seal structure for an engine body comprising a seal member (28) having enlarged opposite ends (30). Between the enlarged end portion of the seal and the joint surfaces is placed a gasket (32).

Applicant is reminded that a one-piece construction, in place of separate elements fastened together, is a design consideration within the skill of the art. <u>In re Kohno</u>, 391 F.2d 959, 157 USPQ 275 (CCPA 1968); <u>In re Larson</u>, 340 F.2d 965, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a seal arrangement, as taught by Fatt, into a seal structure as described by Obermayer, in order to create a better sealing between the crankcase and the cylindrical block.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed November 13, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's arguments that Obermayer, as modified by Fatt, fails to disclose that the seal structure has enlarged recesses for receiving the enlarged end



Art Unit: 3677

portions integrally formed at the opposing ends of the bar-shaped seal member, Obermayer, as modified by Fatt, discloses the invention as claimed.

Obermayer, in Figure 4, illustrates an enlarge recess to receive the bar shaped seal (6) end and a seal member (10,11 and 12). However, the bar shaped seal and the seal member are not integrally formed.

Fatt teaches that is known in the art to have enlarged end portions integrally formed at the opposing ends of the bar-shaped seal member.

As to applicant's arguments that Obermayer, as modified by Fatt, fails to disclose a gasket interposed between the lower end face of the cylinder block and cooperating ends surfaces of the first and second case halves, Obermayer illustrates in Figure 4 that a gasket (17) is interposed between the lower end face of the cylinder block and cooperating ends surfaces of the first and second case halves. Also, Fatt teaches that between the enlarged end portion of the seal and the joint surfaces is placed a gasket (32).

As to applicant's arguments that Obermayer, as modified by Fatt, fails to teach that a T-shaped intersecting joint are among the cylindrical head and the first and second case halves is sealed by the seal member and the gasket, Obermayer in Figure 1 illustrates that.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/901,566

Art Unit: 3677

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and

any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing

date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply

expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo. The examiner phone number is (703)-

305-9747. The fax number for correspondence before a final action is (703)-872-

9326 and the fax number for correspondence after final action is (703)-872-9327.

The email direction of the examiner is carlos.lugo@uspto.gov. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30am to 6:30pm (EST). If the

examiner is not available, please leave a message, including the application number

and the examiner will answer the message as soon as possible.

December 12, 2002

J. J. SWANN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600